Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Kotek, et al. (Consolidated)

Brennan N. Rivas

Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PENDLETON DIVISION OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al., ) Civil No. Plaintiffs, ) 2:22-cv-01815-IM ) (Lead Case) v. TINA KOTEK, et al., ) Civil No. ) 3:22-cv-01859-IM ) (Trailing Case) Defendants. ) Civil No. (Continued) ) 3:22-cv-01862-IM ) (Trailing Case) ) Civil No. ) 3:22-cv-01869-IM ) (Trailing Case) \* VIDEOCONFERENCE \* DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF EXPERT BRENNAN N. RIVAS Witness located in: Fort Worth, Texas \* All participants appeared via videoconference \*

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Tia B. Reidt, Washington RPR, CCR 2798

Oregon # 22-0001

March 22, 2023

DATE TAKEN:

REPORTED BY:

(continued)	
MARK FITZ, et al.,	
Plaintiffs, ) v.	
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
Defendants. )	
KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,	
Plaintiffs, )	
v. )	
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., )	
Defendants. )	
DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,	
Plaintiffs, ) v.	
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
Defendants. )	
)	

Brennan N. Rivas Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Kotek, et al. (Consolidated) Page 3 1 **APPEARANCES** 2 For Oregon Firearms Federation: LEONARD WILLIAMSON 3 VAN NESS WILLIAMSON 960 Liberty Street SE, Suite 100 4 Salem, OR 97302 (503) 365-8800 5 L.williamson@vwllp.com 6 7 For the State of Oregon Defendants: 8 HARRY WILSON MARKOWITZ HERBOLD 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900 9 Portland, OR 97201 (503) 972-5076 10 HarryWilson@markowitzherbold.com 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 20 or someplace else? 1 2 Α. Yeah. And especially the HeinOnline, yeah. 3 Q. Okay. And you also mentioned the Cody Museum. 4 Did you do some work there? 5 A. I did, yes. 6 7 **O**. And when was that? In October 2022. 8 9 Q. Earlier in your testimony, you mentioned -- I think you said you would have liked the curator let you 10 take a closer look at some of the stuff. (Is that the 11 12 museum you're talking about? A. Well, one of them. I mean, I've been to --13 I've been to several museums that have collections of 14 historic firearms, and it would be great to get an 15 all-access tour like that, where they could show you 16 some of the functionality of the gun. Not necessarily 17 18 fire (it, but (at (least be) able to, you know, (sort) of take you (through how (the) mechanisms worked. That would 19 be great. 20 Q. What research did you do at the Cody Museum in 21 22 October? 23 A. I was looking at the history and development of mostly the lever-action rifles, especially looking 24 at the Winchester collections. 25

	Page 21
1	Q. And approximately how much time did you spend
2	there researching?
3	A. I was there for one full workweek, and I spent
4	most of every day there in the archives looking at
5	various resources. So I would say about 25 or 30 hours
6	at the archive.
7	Q. Okay.
8	Did you interact with any of the curators
9	there?
<mark>10</mark>	A. I did not interact with curators. I did
<mark>11</mark>	(interact) (with the librarians) (and (archivists) (at the
<mark>12</mark>	McCracken Research Library.
<mark>13</mark>	Q. Okay.
<mark>14</mark>	The other resource material you cited to was
<mark>15</mark>	Gun Digest. Can you tell me a little bit more about
<mark>16</mark>	using that as a researching tool?
<mark>17</mark>	A. Yes. I bought online a CD collection of
<mark>18</mark>	digitized issues of Gun Digest. It runs from the
<mark>19</mark>	beginning in the 1940s up through pretty recently,
<mark>20</mark>	through at least, like, 2015 or something like that.
<mark>21</mark>	And so I have those, and I've looked at I've looked
<mark>22</mark>	at some of the older issues of Gun Digest.
23	Q. And why is that a particular useful resource?
24	A. Well, number one, it was a great way to it
25	was a great resource in that you can get a lot of

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Kotek, et al. (Consolidated)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

secondary source?

Brennan N. Rivas

Page 22 material in one place that's fairly easy to go through, you know? So you've got decades and decades of Gun Digest all in one place at your computer, and it was useful for that. But also, Gun Digest is really useful for seeing what kinds of weapons were available and how they're being described, things of that nature. And so I used it for that purpose. Q. Do you find it to be an accurate resource? Insofar as -- insofar as it's a catalog of what is available, I would say yes. I don't have any reason to think (that) (it was -- that) (it was wildly) inaccurate. Q. I wasn't trying to imply that. I'm just trying to gauge your -- your trust of the resource. That's all. A. Well, like I said, for the purpose I used it for, which was sort of to scan through what kinds of guns are they advertising in (it) and what (is) -- what does that catalog show to be available. That's what I used it for. Not so much reading the articles or anything like that. Q. (If) you relied on any of the articles in the

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Gun Digest, would you consider that a primary or

Brennan N. Rivas

Page 23
A. Well, it would depend on it would depend on
what the article was about. If the article was about,
you know, the history of flintlock muskets, then that
would be a secondary source. But if it's an article
about here is this new model of rifle that just came
out, I would consider that a primary source about that
item.
Q. Okay.
So if you could turn to your declaration
again, Exhibit 8, and I'm looking at the top of page 5.
A. Okay.
Q. And the specific language I'm looking at is
("America) has a long tradition of regulating weapons
considered especially dangerous."
Is there a definition in your own mind of what
is what you refer to here as "especially dangerous"?
A. Well, for the context of the 19th century,
yes. They generally called those "deadly weapons" and
regulated them in various ways. Yeah.
Q. So when you just described that, you mean
dangerous and unusual as the Supreme Court uses that
term? Or how do you understand that term to be?
A. (I I) would be hesitant to say that I'm
aligning everything I'm saying with how the Supreme

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Court uses the phrases. I'm speaking as a historian.

	Page 24
1	But based on my own research, Americans of the 19th
2	century did consider some weapons to be especially
3	dangerous to the peace and safety of communities, and
4	they generally grouped them together and called them
5	"deadly weapons" and regulated them.
6	Q. So would you use the phrasing interchangeably,
7	<pre>"especially dangerous" equals "dangerous and unusual"?</pre>
8	A. (I'm honestly not sure.)
9	Q. Okay. That's fair.
10	(Is it possible that over time something that
11	fits in the category, in your own mind, "especially
12	dangerous or considered ("especially dangerous") by a
13	community moves to a different category, that it's not,
14	and (it's not) regulated?
<b>15</b>	A. What's the time frame we're thinking of here?
<mark>16</mark>	Do you have a time frame here to which you're limiting
<b>17</b>	that?
18	Q. Sure.
19	So in some of the references here there was
20	you make some note of pistols, especially, I think, one
21	of them was either described as a horse pistol or a
22	long pistol, and the states regulating such items.
23	So juxtapose that with now in the modern era
24	under Heller, the Supreme Court saying that pistols
25	might have been considered dangerous and unusual then,

Page 25 but they're not now, and that they're considered a 1 quintessential self-defense item. 2 3 So my curiosity is: How do you go from it being dangerous and 4 regulated to now it's not, and it's the quintessential 5 example of self-defense? So... 6 7 A. That's a question I probably would like to 8 know the answer to myself. But as a historian and with Americans' general 9 attitudes towards handguns, especially in the 19th 10 century, which is what my research focuses on, they did 11 12 consider them to be associated with criminal activity. Even today, you know, handguns are involved in more 13 crimes and more deaths than any kind of firearm; right? 14 So I don't -- I don't know that they're any less 15 dangerous than they used to be. It's just social -- I 16 guess social attitudes and especially legal 17 interpretation of that has changed. 18 Well, and that's in part what a historian 19 does; correct? They look at snapshots and times and 20 try to discover those things? 21 22 Α. Yeah. 23 Q. Okay. Would it also be fair to say that any -- any 24 weapon that could be used like a knife or a hatchet or 25

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Kotek, et al. (Consolidated)

Brennan N. Rivas

	Page 71
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE
5	
6	I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and
7	for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript of the deposition of BRENNAN N.
9	RIVAS, having been duly sworn, on March 21, 2023, is
10	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and
11	ability. Reading and signing was requested pursuant to
12	FRCP Rule 30(e).
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	and seal this 27th day of March, 2023.
15	NOTCO
16 17	A Beaufile
18	Sinost
19	/S/ Tia B. Reidt Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CCR # 22-0001
20	NOTARY PUBLIC, State of
21	Washington. My commission expires
22	5/15/2026.
23	
24	
25	